

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
•	10/771,274	02/02/2004	Shih Yang Lee	61994.00008	2319
	30256 SOLUBE SAN	7590 08/08/2007 IDERS & DEMPSEV I I P		EXAMINER	
	SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P PATENT DEPARTMENT ONE MARITIME PLAZA, SUITE 300 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3492		WANG, KENT F		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622		
	,				
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				08/08/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/771,274	LEE, SHIH YANG			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kent Wang	2622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tince will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	<u>une 2007</u> .				
		action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.      Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	` ' ' '				
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachmen	• •	🗖				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F				

Art Unit: 2622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. The amendments, filed on 06/10/2007, have been entered and made of record. Claims 1-13 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) or rejection. Applicant's arguments with respect to the Ueno reference will be addressed in the context of the rejection below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 1, 8, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ueno, US 5,625,415.

Regarding claim 1, Ueno discloses an image capturing method comprising:

- (a) providing a topic object (a person as in Fig 14) and a background object (a mountain as in Fig 14);
- (b) capturing and storing (stored in the frame memory 136; Fig 10 and col. 23, line 66 to col. 24, line 12) a first image (a pre-shooting button 1312, Fig 14) according to a first distance in focus to the background object, wherein the first image includes topic object and background object, the first distance in focus

Application/Control Number: 10/771,274

corresponds to an exposure value and a first depth of field (calculating the exposure value concerning the image shooting on the basis of image data involved in the area information; col. 2, line 65 to col. 3, line 48)

- (c) acquiring a second distance in focus, wherein the second distance in focus corresponds to exposure value and a second depth of field (a depth of field information generating unit 1214, Fig 11B), and the second depth of field overlaps a part of first depth of field (Figs 19-20 for overlap of different depth-offield);
- (d) capturing and storing (stored in the frame memory 136, Fig 10) a second image (a shooting button 1314, Fig 14), according to the second distance in focus, the second photo includes topic object and background object (the image data involved in the first and second images stored in the frame memory 136, col. 23, line 66 to col. 24, line12);
- and (e) replacing the first distance in focus with the second distance in focus, and repeating step (c) and step (d) till topic object being within second depth of field (correction processing unit 1216 serves to correct the condition for image shooting; Fig 11B and col. 22, lines 44-65).

Regarding claim 8, Ueno discloses an image capturing method comprising:

- providing a topic object (a person as in Fig 14) and a background object (a mountain as in Fig 14);
- and capturing and storing a plurality of images (the image data involved in the
   pre-shooting and shooting were stored in the frame memory 136; see col. 23, line

Application/Control Number: 10/771,274

Art Unit: 2622

Page 4

66 to col. 24, line12) corresponding to an exposure value (col. 7, line 14 to col. 8, line 5), each one of the images includes topic object and background object corresponding to a distance in focus (defined by parameters such as a focal length; col. 22, lines 1-13), each said distance in focus corresponding to a depth of field (focal length 122 correspond to depth-of-field 1214; col. 22, lines 1-13), wherein each depth of field overlaps part of other to the corresponding distance in focus (Figs 19 and 20 for overlap of different depth-of-field, e.g. b, c, and d, for example topic object "P<sub>d</sub>" has a depth of field "d" corresponding distance "D").

Regarding claim 12, Ueno discloses an image-capturing device comprising:

- an input device (input unit 118, Fig 10), for inputting an item to perform a command;
- a storage (frame memory 136, Fig 10), for storing a plurality of programs for said command (image processing unit 132 is constituted of the frame memory; Fig 10, and col. 17, lines 23-32, and col.28, line 61 to col.29, line15);
- a processor (processing unit 132, Fig 10), for outputting an executing command to capture and store a plurality of images (e.g. an image shooting 1312 and a preshooting 1314, col. 17, lines 61-67) corresponding to an exposure value (col. 7, line 14 to col. 8, line 5), each one of said images including a topic object and a background object, each said distance in focus corresponding to a depth of field, wherein each depth of field overlaps a part of other depth of field, said topic object is within one of the depth of field (col. 22, lines 1-43 and Figs 19-20).

Art Unit: 2622

- a capturing device (a camera 110; col. 15, lines 18-39), for performing said
   executing command; and
- a controller (a controller 112; col. 15, lines 18-39), for receiving the command
   and control the capturing device in accordance with said executing command.

Regarding claim 13, this claim recites same limitations as claim 1. Thus it is analyzed and rejected as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 above.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 2 – 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ueno in view of Rinn, US 4,639,110.

Regarding claim 2, note the discussion of Ueno claims 1 and 8 above. Ueno does not teach the calculation of first and second depth of field. However, Rinn teaches the first depth of field is calculated from first distance in focus, a first front depth of field, and a first back depth of field (the digitization takes place in such a manner that each step corresponds to a distance focusing zone; col. 4, lines 9-32 and also col. 1, line 56 to col. 2, line 37, Rinn). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time this invention was made to have used the method as taught by Ueno as modified by Rinn to achieve the claimed invention. As disclosed in Rinn reference, the motivation for the combination would be to photograph precisely for the measured distance (col. 1, line 67 to col. 2, line 2, Rinn).

Regarding claims 3 and 6, Rinn teaches the front depth of field is a distance of a near point in front of background object (the focusing must be at a <u>lesser distance</u>; col. 4, line 65 to col. 5, line 10 and Fig 1a, also refer to equations in col. 2, Rinn).

Regarding claims 4 and 7, Rinn teaches the back depth of field is a distance of a near point in back of background object (the focusing must be at a greater distance; col. 5, lines 19-29 and Fig 1a, also refer to equations in col. 2, Rinn).

Regarding claim 5, Rinn teaches the second depth of field is calculated from second distance in focus, a second front depth of field, and a second back depth of field (the digitization takes place in such a manner that <u>each step corresponds to a distance focusing zone</u>; col. 4, lines 9-32 and also col. 1, line 56 to col. 2, line 37, Rinn).

Regarding claims 9-11, these claims are recited same limitations as claims 2-7. Thus they are analyzed as previously discussed with rejected to claims 2-7 above.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,274

Art Unit: 2622

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Kent Wang whose telephone number is 571-270-1703. The examiner

Page 7

can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 PM (every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ngoc-Yen Vu can be reached on 571-272-7320. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-270-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access

to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or

access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or

571-272-1000.

KW

3 August 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER